10/641,725

08/15/2003

JUN 15 2006

TRANSMITTAL

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Application Number

Filing Date

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)			First Named Inventor	Manfred N	Manfred Muller et al.	
			Art Unit	2833	2833	
			Examiner Name	Nguyen, I	Nguyen, Phuongchi T.	
Total Number of Pages in This Submission			Attorney Docket Number	0275M-00	0275M-000761	
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)						
Fee Transmittal Form		Drawing(s)			After Allowance Communication to Technology Center (TC)	
Fee Attached		Licensing-related Papers			Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences	
Amendment / Reply		Petition			Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)	
After Final		Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application		Proprie	Proprietary Information	
Affidavits/declaration(s)		Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address		☐ Status	Status Letter	
Extension of Time Request		Terminal Disclaimer			Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):	
Express Abandonment Request		Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s)		Comments on Statement of Reasons for Alowance; Fee(s) Transmittal (PTOL-85 - in duplicate); and postcard.		
☐ Information Disclosure Statement				au	piloatej, anu postodru.	
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)		Remarks				
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application						
Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53						
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT						
Firm or Harness, Dickey & Pierce, P. Individual name		Pierce, P.L.C			eg. No. 7313	
Signature Chinisale & Bronce						
Date June 15, 2006						
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING						
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.						
Typed or printed name Christopher M				Express Mail Label No.	EV 853 856 595 US (6/15/2006)	
Signature Cum		ude	Il Brock	- Date	June 15, 2006	

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5 he information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO:

Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.





IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.:

10/641,725

Notice of Allowance Dated

03/31/2006

Filing Date:

08/15/2003

Applicants:

Manfred Muller et al.

Group Art Unit:

2833

Examiner:

Nguyen, Phuongchi T.

Title:

Fastener For An Electric Contact

Attorney Docket:

0275M-000761

Mail Stop ISSUE FEE Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Sir:

Applicants gratefully note the allowance of Claims 1-8 and 10-15 in the present application. Reasons for Allowance are only warranted in instances in which "the record of the prosecution as a whole does not make clear [the Examiner's] reasons for allowing a claim or claims." 37 C.F.R. 1.104 (e). In the present case, Applicants believe the record as a whole does make clear the reasons for allowance and therefore no statement by the Examiner is necessary or warranted. Furthermore, Applicants do not necessarily agree with each statement in the reasons for allowance. While Applicants believe the claims are allowable, Applicants do not acquiesce that patentability resides

solely in the specific feature or combination of features identified, or that each feature or combination of features identified is required for patentability, or that equivalents of any of the recited features are outside the scope of the claims. Moreover, to the extent the Reasons for Allowance do not separately address the subject matter of each claim, Applicants do not acquiesce to any inference that any one claim does not present patentable subject matter independent of any other claim.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: June 15, 2006

Christopher M. Brock

Reg. No. 27313

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. Box 828 Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303 (248) 641-1600

CMB:bg